UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

TC 4	D: / . /	CI	7.		•
Hastern	District	ot \	/ 1r	oin	12
Lastern	District	OI.	V 11 2	5111	ıu

SUHAIL NAJIM ABDULLAH AL SHIMARI, et al. v. CACI PREMIER TECHNOLOGY, INC.))) Case No.: 1:08-CV-00827-GBL-JFA)				
)				
BILL	OF COSTS				
An Order resolving all claims having been entered in the above titled action on	06/25/2013 against Plaintiffs ,				
the Clerk is requested to tax the following as costs:	Date				
Fees of the Clerk	\$600.00				
Fees for service of summons and subpoena	240.00				
Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessaril	y obtained for use in the case				
Fees and disbursements for printing	0.00				
Fees for witnesses (itemize on page two)	46.00				
Fees for exemplification and the costs of making copies of any necessarily obtained for use in the case	1 1 10 00				
Docket fees under 28 U.S.C. 1923	20.00				
Costs as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals	0.00				
Compensation of court-appointed experts	0.00				
Compensation of interpreters and costs of special interpretation	services under 28 U.S.C. 1828				
Other costs (please itemize)	10.00				
	TOTAL \$15,580.01				
SPECIAL NOTE: Attach to your bill an itemization and docum	entation for requested costs in all categories.				
Dec	claration				
services for which fees have been charged were actually and ne in the following manner: Electronic service First co	sts are correct and were necessarily incurred in this action and that the cessarily performed. A copy of this bill has been served on all parties lass mail, postage prepaid				
s/ Attorney: /s/ J. William Koegel, Jr.					
Name of Attorney: J. William Koegel, Jr.					
For: Defendant CACI Premier Technol Name of Claiming Party	blogy, Inc. Date: <u>08/02/2013</u>				
Taxati	ion of Costs				
Costs are taxed in the amount of	and included in the judgment.				
	Deputy Clerk Date				

United States District Court

Witness Fees (computation, cf. 28 U.S.C. 1821 for statutory fees)								
	ATTEN	DANCE	SUBSIS	STENCE	MILEAGE		T-4-1 C4	
NAME , CITY AND STATE OF RESIDENCE	Days	Total Cost	Days	Total Cost	Miles	Total Cost	Total Cost Each Witness	
Maj. Carolyn Holmes Lacey, WA 98516	1	40.00	1	0.00	11	6.00	\$46.00	
							\$0.00	
							\$0.00	
							\$0.00	
							\$0.00	
							\$0.00	
			TOTAL		\$46.00			

NOTICE

Section 1924, Title 28, U.S. Code (effective September 1, 1948) provides:

"Sec. 1924. Verification of bill of costs."

"Before any bill of costs is taxed, the party claiming any item of cost or disbursement shall attach thereto an affidavit, made by himself or by his duly authorized attorney or agent having knowledge of the facts, that such item is correct and has been necessarily incurred in the case and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed."

See also Section 1920 of Title 28, which reads in part as follows:

"A bill of costs shall be filed in the case and, upon allowance, included in the judgment or decree."

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contain the following provisions: RULE 54(d)(1)

Costs Other than Attorneys' Fees.

Unless a federal statute, these rules, or a court order provides otherwise, costs — other than attorney's fees — should be allowed to the prevailing party. But costs against the United States, its officers, and its agencies may be imposed only to the extent allowed by law. The clerk may tax costs on 14 day's notice. On motion served within the next 7 days, the court may review the clerk's action.

RULE 6

(d) Additional Time After Certain Kinds of Service.

When a party may or must act within a specified time after service and service is made under Rule5(b)(2)(C), (D), (E), or (F), 3 days are added after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a).

RULE 58(e)

Cost or Fee Awards:

Ordinarily, the entry of judgment may not be delayed, nor the time for appeal extended, in order to tax costs or award fees. But if a timely motion for attorney's fees is made under Rule 54(d)(2), the court may act before a notice of appeal has been filed and become effective to order that the motion have the same effect under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4) as a timely motion under Rule 59.